



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,913	12/13/2001	Kineo Matsui	MES1P043	3027
22434	7590	01/11/2008		
BEYER WEAVER LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 70250			HENNING, MATTHEW T	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

AK

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/889,913	Applicant(s) MATSUI, KINEO	
	Examiner Matthew T. Henning	Art Unit 2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Matthew T. Henning. (3) _____.

(2) Marc Hanish. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Inoue et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner clarified the rejection indicating that the mean calculation of Fig. 14 of Inoue is relied upon by the examiner as reading on the claimed "comparison". The applicants' representative proposed possible amendments to be filed in an RCE to overcome Inoue. The examiner indicated that the proposed ideas seem as though they could overcome the Inoue reference, but further consideration upon would be necessary upon filing of an official response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

571-272-3790

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required